PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 15 FEB 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PAIRERTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Apr	olicant's or agent's file	roforonco		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	4005	s releterice	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/NO2005/000049		International filing dat 11.02.2005	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20.02.2004		
1		sification (IPC) or n	ational classification and	IPC		
E2	1B43/32					
Applicant NORSK HYDRO ASA						
1.	This report is the Authority under	e international pre Article 35 and tra	liminary examination	report, established by ant according to Artick	this International Preliminary Examining e 36.	
2.	This REPORT c	onsists of a total o	of 5 sheets, including	this cover sheet.		
3.			y ANNEXES, compris	-		
				reau) a total of sheet		
	and/o	ts of the description sheets containing instrative Instruct	ng rectifications autho	vings which have bee rized by this Authority	n amended and are the basis of this report (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the	
	beyo	ts which supersed nd the disclosure Dlemental Box.	de earlier sheets, but in the international ap	which this Authority co pplication as filed, as i	onsiders contain an amendment that goes ndicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
	b. 🛘 (sent to t	he International B	ureau only) a total of	(indicate type and nur	nber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a	
	seguence	e listing and <i>i</i> or tab	les related thereto. in	computer readable fo 02 of the Administrati	rm only as indicated in the Supplemental	
4.	This report conta	ains indications re	lating to the following	items:		
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the opin	nion			
	☐ Box No. II	Priority				
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishme	ent of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventi	ive step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of		•		
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cita	ment under Article 35 itions and explanation	(2) with regard to nove s supporting such sta	elty, inventive step or industrial tement	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume	nts cited			
	🛛 Box No. VII	Certain defects	n the international ap	plication		
	☑ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the internatio	nal application		
Date	of submission of the	e demand		Date of completion of	f this report	
				· '		
05.0	09.2005			14.02.2006		
	e and mailing addres		al	Authorized Officer		
	European	Patent Office			istern Will	
	D-80298 M Tel. +49 89	2399 - 0 Tx: 52369	66 epmu d	Bellingacci, F	EPANSAS ON STREET	
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				Telephone No. +49 8	9 2399-2784	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NO2005/000049

	Box No. I Basis of the repor	t			
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wa filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	☐ This report is based on tran which is the language of a	nslations from the original language into the following language , translation furnished for the purposes of:			
	publication of the internal	der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ational application (under Rule 12.4) v examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	. With regard to the elements* or have been furnished to the receive report as "originally filed" and a	f the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which eiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this re not annexed to this report):			
	Description, Pages				
	1-7	as originally filed			
	Claims, Numbers				
	1-9	as originally filed			
	Drawings, Sheets				
	1/2, 2/2	as originally filed			
	☐ a sequence listing and/or a	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.	 □ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: □ the description, pages □ the claims, Nos. □ the drawings, sheets/figs □ the sequence listing (specify): □ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): 				
4.	☐ This report has been estable had not been made, since they Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c) ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/fig☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s	s pecify):			
	* If item / applies s	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "supergoded "			

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No:

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims Claims

1-9

1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1 = WO 92/08875 A

D2 = EP 1 050 338 A

Re Item V

ij

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- V-1 D1, which is considered as the closest prior art, describes a method as in the preamble of claim 1.
 - The features in the characterizing par of claim 1 are new and the claim meets the novelty requirement of Art. 33(2) PCT.
- V-2 A problem in the method according to D1 is that the downhole choke unit is controlled from the surface, implying therefore the transmission of signals from the surface to the choke and in the opposite direction. By the method according to claim 1 the actuator is at the contrary automatically controlled by changes in the formation fluid property, without any need of control from the surface. While actuators controlled by an osmotic cell are in themselves known (see for example D2), none of the cited documents suggests the particular use and features specified in claim 1. Claim 1 meets therefore the inventive step requirement of Art 33(3) PCT. The same conclusion applies to corresponding independent apparatus claim 4 and respective dependant claims (2 to 4 and 6 to 9).

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

VII-1 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor is said document identified therein.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

VIII-1 The expression "in connection" as used at the beginning of claims 1 and 4 is not clear. Expressions as " a method to operate an actuator" and "an actuator device to regulate a fluid flow" should have been used in claims 1 and 4 respectively.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/NO2005/000049